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LETTER FROM THE MEMBERS

THE MISSION OF THE XR ASSOCIATION (XRA) is to promote the responsible development and thoughtful advancement of XR technology. This document combines knowledge and experience from Google, HTC VIVE, Facebook and Oculus, Samsung, and Sony Interactive Entertainment, to create a collective XRA development primer for XR developers across the industry. This document does not express the singular viewpoints of any member company, but rather aims to represent a primer and some application guidelines learned from the nascent days of XR. Example images are from a best practices educational application being developed by the design team at Google.

Representatives from these member companies have worked together to share what they have learned to date during the development of their respective platforms and to collaborate on a common set of focus areas for development in the XR industry. It is our hope that this guide will improve applications across all our respective platforms, as well as for the global community of XR users.

This document is not exhaustive. XR is a rapidly evolving space, and XRA is committed to updating this document through the addition of new standalone chapters as the industry and technology develop.

This information is grounded in human physiology, psychology, and ergonomics to achieve better user comfort and safety. While the member companies of the XRA are evolving our XR technology in different ways, the attributes and capabilities of our users remain the same.

XR is dynamic, and each platform contains unique features and considerations. If you are developing an application for a specific AR or VR platform, we encourage you to familiarize yourself with that platform’s specific best practices, requirements, and guidelines. However, we believe that any XR application can benefit from the universal principles set forth in this document.

We also encourage you to experiment, try out new approaches, and run your own user testing. As you discover new information, we want to hear from you so we can all collectively help push the industry forward. You can reach the XRA on these issues at info@xra.org.

— XRA Member Companies
   Google, HTC VIVE, Facebook and Oculus, Samsung, and Sony Interactive Entertainment
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

XR IS AN UMBRELLA TERM that encompasses augmented reality (AR), mixed reality (MR), virtual reality (VR), and other forms of alternate, expanded, or immersive reality applications, including those not yet invented. The primer introduces topics that XR developers should focus on for user comfort and safety in AR and VR. They are presented as a starting point and are far from exhaustive. Each device and platform may have different requirements, so it is important to incorporate those specifications into development.

The XRA member companies have collectively learned a great deal about XR design over the last several years, but it is very early in the overall evolution of the platform, and we are still learning new best practices at a rapid pace. We strongly encourage developers to implement these guidelines while experimenting, trying out new approaches, and running extensive user testing.

As you discover new information, we would love to hear from you to incorporate your knowledge into these guidelines for XR design. You can contact the XRA on the issues at info@xra.org.
VIRTUAL REALITY

VR is an immersive medium that has the power to completely replace a user’s reality with a new virtual reality. This new reality can be fantastical, like a far away galaxy, or practical, like a training warehouse.

Two primary formats of modern VR are 3DOF (3 degrees of freedom) VR, which features orientation tracking and 6DOF (6 degrees of freedom) VR, which features full positional tracking. The XR Primer covers important safety and usage considerations to be aware of when designing and developing the VR experience for both formats.

These considerations center around three aspects of VR: general user experience, physical comfort, and physiological comfort.

Designing for the User Experience presents considerations about how users expect to interact with the VR environment, including recommendations around user acclimation, load screens, and session durations.

Designing for Physical Comfort introduces the difficult topic of what may make users uncomfortable in VR experiences and some mechanisms that can be used to avoid causing VR-specific discomfort, eye strain, and neck fatigue.

Designing for Psychological Comfort reviews some of the ways that the immersive nature of VR experience may discomfort some users by the nature of the scenario that the user is placed in, including environmental design and architecture features, such as heights, sharp objects, large and small spaces, scale, and user agency.

AUGMENTED REALITY

An augmented environment layers virtual content, such as digital objects or information, onto a real-world image captured from a device’s camera. Because AR experiences interact with the physical environment, environmental considerations, such as lighting and surface detection, become important.

The XR Primer reviews how developers can help users understand the ideal conditions for using the app.

Designing for the User’s Environment reviews the concept of designing for many different environments and considerations about people may use the app, including the private space of a room or the vast openness of a real-world environment.

Designing for the User’s Experience details how users are just beginning to become familiar with a 360-degree XR environment and reviews considerations for how users may expect to consume augmented experiences.
SECTION THREE

INTRODUCTION

XR IS AN UMBRELLA TERM.

It encompasses augmented reality (AR), mixed reality (MR), virtual reality (VR), and other forms of alternate, expanded, or immersive reality applications, including those not yet invented. By augmenting or taking over a user’s reality, XR applications transport users to fantastical lands or display information and environments that interact with the user’s reality. With this ability also comes responsibilities. The user is trusting that developers will provide them with an experience that is safe, comfortable, and expected. If XR experiences do not integrate basic application design guidelines, they can lead to discomfort in some users, with symptoms clustered around eye strain, disorientation, or nausea.

Certain types of images are also believed to be capable of triggering photosensitive seizures in a small portion of the population. The International Standards Organization has published ISO 9241-391:2016 as a standard for image content to reduce the risk of photosensitive seizures. Developers are responsible for reviewing the standards and literature on photosensitive seizures and image safety, and for designing content that conforms to these standards. More broadly, developers are responsible for designing, developing, and testing an experience that is safe and comfortable for users.

This document introduces topics that XR developers should focus on for user comfort and safety in AR and VR. They are presented as a starting point and are far from exhaustive. Each device and platform may have different requirements, so it is important to incorporate those specifications into your development.
VR is a powerful immersive medium. It can create the sensation of being transported into a virtual (or real, but digitally reproduced) three-dimensional world, and can provide a far more visceral experience than traditional screen-based media. It can leverage the user’s spatial memory, give the user a sense of scale, and in many cases, serve as an emotional amplifier.

VR is a medium that attempts to replace one’s experience in the real world, so users are likely to have an expectation that they will be able to interact with that virtual world in the same ways they do outside of it. This interactive component has benefits and drawbacks. Balancing immersion, usability, and experience is the central challenge in VR design.
6DOF (6 DEGREES OF FREEDOM) VR

6DOF devices, like the Oculus Rift, HTC Vive, and PlayStation VR tracking in six orientations (forward/back, up/down, left/right, yaw, pitch, and roll). This means that the device is able to track users’ head movements and their position in three-dimensional space.

For 6DOF applications, movement should be restricted to the predefined play area. Each device has a specific play area requirements, which are available on the device websites. Application developers may choose to support any number of 6DOF play styles, from standing room scale to a seated experience, depending on the application they are developing.

Most VR platforms provide some form of warning if the user exits their defined play space, so the platform’s default may have existing established boundaries in some cases. If the VR platform does not manage this mechanism at a platform level, we recommend adding some mechanism that warns users if they are about to exit a safe space. This can be achieved in several ways, but some common approaches include displaying grid lines, presenting increasingly dense fog, popup notifications, or arrows indicating the way back to the safe area.

Use subtle cues to denote the safe play area.

Indicators of the safe play area can help set user expectations for how far they can roam before the safety indicators or warnings will activate. This can be achieved through subtle environment changes, like a virtual floor that changes material or texture, or elements that fit with the environment or scene, like a virtual workbench or cockpit.

Avoid game mechanics that encourage users to go beyond the safe play area.

The goal of the platform-level safety systems is to warn users that they should not leave the safe area. Game mechanics that encourage the user to move beyond the bounds could encourage users to move so quickly that the platform isn’t able to cue them, or they may miss these cues if they are overly focused on the game itself. For example, a virtual adversary outside of the play area could be an unnecessary game mechanic that creates extra risk to your players.
**3DOF (3 DEGREES OF FREEDOM) VR**

3DOF platforms, like the Oculus Go, Samsung Gear VR, and Google Daydream, track users’ rotational motions (pitch, yaw, and roll), but not their translational motions (forward/back, up/down, left/right). 3DOF devices that use a controller assume the position of the controller based on arm and kinematic modeling.

Designing for 3DOF VR can be challenging. Many users will use their 3DOF VR platform while seated in a comfortable chair or couch. Because not all chairs rotate in 360 degrees the players accessible Field of View (FOV) may be limited, making immersive game design more difficult.

Provide users with controls for them to virtually rotate their view horizontally, in case they can’t swivel in real life.

There are a number of implementations to achieve this effect, from discrete swipes that trigger a sudden jump of a fixed number of degrees, to momentarily restricting the user’s FOV (called tunneling) while they do a smooth rotation of the camera.

If the expected use case is for your users to be sitting, one approach developers have used is to place the virtual camera height at a distance off the ground based on a seated user in order to avoid the feeling of sitting in a virtual levitating chair. This decision should be content-specific. For example, if the user is in an environment with many standing characters, it may feel more comfortable for the user to be placed in a levitating chair and at the same eye-line as the avatars they are interacting with.

Use caution when representing the 3DOF controller as a hand, or hand-like object, implying that the controller may be used to grab or manipulate objects.

**HYBRID MOBILE VR APPLICATIONS**

Most VR applications launch from an in-VR home screen. It is critical that applications are self-contained. Some platforms do not have the capability to remove a phone from their headset as part of an interface flow.

Of course, even with a fully self-contained mobile VR application, developers can still leverage 2D interfaces and add-ons that extend the experience of an application.
USER BREAKS

There is at least one way in which VR is similar to the traditional application ecosystem: we believe users should be able to pause most VR experiences any time and not lose their progress. There are some VR experiences, specifically synchronous multiplayer apps, where this may not be feasible. In these instances, offer plenty of opportunity between matches for users to take a break if needed.

Traditionally, some game design has used the pacing of save points in a game to intentionally create stress and anxiety. For example, designing so users must complete the boss fight to reach the next save point.

This game mechanic is problematic for VR for a few reasons:

- The user may have a real-world interruption.
- The user may want to take a break from an intense experience.
- The user may want to look at or respond to a notification from another application.

For these reasons, it is best to offer users the ability to both save progress in real time and allow the user to resume an experience at any point. Additionally, well-timed suggestions to take a break could be a good reminder for users who might otherwise lose track of time.
ACCLIMATING TO A VIRTUAL ENVIRONMENT

It takes users time to acclimate to a new virtual world or to a new scene within a familiar virtual world. Immediate instructions or choices are harder for users to process while they are still under the cognitive load of acclimating to the new environment. When introducing users to a new virtual environment:

Start slow.

Begin with more sedate, slower-paced interactions that ease users in to the experience and allow them to acclimate to the new environment.

Avoid presenting information or asking the user questions immediately following a scene change.

Users are unlikely to be paying attention to informational cues during the first moments in a new scene. They may not be able to effectively process additional information or make immediate choices.

Avoid unnecessary scene changes.

If the users are interacting with the User Interface (UI) in front of them, or accessing a menu, suddenly changing the environment around them will introduce cognitive load and detract from their ability to interact with the interface.

Avoid rapid scene changes.

Putting users into a sequence of rapidly changing environments will quickly become overwhelming. While this effect may not be nauseating, it can be confusing.

LOADING SCREENS

Loading screens may be unavoidable, but users should experience them as infrequently and as briefly as possible. Avoid presenting users with a blank loading screen, as after a few seconds of a blank scene, users may assume that the application has crashed and remove their headset.

Additionally, when you have to present a loading screen, always maintain orientation tracking and motion of the head.
VR-SPECIFIC DISCOMFORT CAUSES

One of the challenges of building VR apps is VR-specific discomfort, a feeling of nausea or dizziness that may be triggered for some users by performance issues or design choices in a VR application. Regardless of a user's predisposition to nausea or dizziness, VR discomfort can be generated by a number of poor design choices.

The only way to gauge how users will respond to potential discomfort triggers in an application is to test the application with a large, diverse, and novice set of users. An application's user population is unlikely to be well represented by simple observations of its development team. Developers' familiarity with the application sets expectations about the experience ahead of time, reducing the likelihood of discomfort. Applications should therefore be tested by representative and unfamiliar user sets.

Additionally, settings that allow users to modify the VR experience to match their comfort level may reduce the likelihood that users will experience discomfort. For example, providing different locomotion methods for users to select from allows users to engage with the application in their preferred locomotion method. This may be the most comforting method for users who are prone to motion discomfort or the most intense experience for users who are less likely to experience motion discomfort.

VR-specific discomfort is a feeling similar to being motion sick or car sick. Examples include:

- **Eye strain:** Increased strain on the muscles used for eye movement.
- **Neck and arm ergonomics:** Tired or sore muscles in the neck and arms.
- **VR-specific discomfort:** A feeling of nausea or dizziness that may be triggered by performance issues or design choices in a VR application.

A NOTE ABOUT PERFORMANCE

Performance issues in VR applications can cause users to experience discomfort. These issues may include low frame rate or freezing and stuttering. Delivering high-performance VR applications that consistently render at 60 frames per second (or higher, depending on the native refresh rate of the device) can reduce the likelihood users will experience discomfort.

Many platforms introduce techniques to mitigate the impact to users in the event that an application fails to maintain frame rate or suddenly freezes, by continuing to track the user's head movement. However, developers should avoid relying on these mitigation devices. An application that freezes or drops frames in VR is a poor experience for all users. The goal is to create an application that doesn't rely on mitigation techniques.

The following sections outline some general design information to be aware of when designing a VR application.

**Moving the User's Perspective**

The player's perspective is commonly referred to as “the camera” in traditional 2D game design. In the past, this has meant what the player sees on their TV or computer monitor. In VR the camera is the user's head, or more specifically the user's eyes, as VR devices render two stereoscopic images. Developers should avoid taking control of the camera away from users. Moving the camera without the users moving their heads breaks immersion and quickly leads to discomfort.

This discomfort arises when the user's vision tells them they are moving through space, but their body perceives the opposite (vestibular sense and proprioception). This illusory perception of self-motion from vision alone has been termed vection, and is a major underlying cause of visually induced VR discomfit. Generally, avoid creating any unexpected disparity between what the user is seeing and their vestibular system is sensing. The vestibular system is the inner-ear system that collects sensory information critical for motion, equilibrium, and spatial orientation.

Consider how poor graphics performance may induce discomfort in users. In a scenario where an app unexpectedly freezes while the user is moving their head, many users will experience immediate discomfort as their vestibular system is telling them that they are moving, but their vision is telling them that they are stationary.

The recommendation of not creating an unexpected disparity in what the user is seeing and sensing, developers should avoid exaggerating or scaling rotation of the user's perspective, as this also creates a disparity in what the user is sensing and seeing. The movement in VR should exactly match the real-world movement of a user's head.
**Acceleration**

Acceleration is any change in velocity in any direction. Acceleration can refer to decreasing the speed of movement, rotating or turning, and moving (or ceasing to move) sideways or vertically. Acceleration conveyed visually — but not to the vestibular organs — constitutes a sensory conflict that can cause discomfort.

The human vestibular system does not perceive constant velocity, only acceleration and deceleration. For example, flying in an airplane at 500 mph often feels like sitting still, aside from turbulence that cause changes to the plane’s speed. The rider feels takeoff and landing because there is acceleration and deceleration.

Moving in VR at a constant velocity creates no disparity between what users see and what they feel, because both sitting still and moving at a constant velocity exerts zero force. This is aside from the constant 1g of force user’s feel due to the Earth’s gravity, which is also consistent between the user’s real and virtual experiences. However, when observing an acceleration or deceleration in VR, for instance when riding a roller coaster or maneuvering a fighter plane, users expect the g-forces that come with these changes in velocity, which can cause discomfort.

Discomfort may increase as the frequency, size, and duration of the acceleration increases. Any period of visually-presented acceleration represents a conflict between senses, and it is best to avoid them as much as possible.

**Unnatural Movement**

Another trigger for discomfort is unnatural movement. Strafing (moving side to side) is common for first person video games played with a gamepad or mouse and keyboard, but humans are not physically constructed to quickly move side to side. Allowing users to move laterally in VR or allowing them to move in the direction of a controller they are pointing regardless of where they are looking, may make some users uncomfortable. Forward user movement is generally most comfortable.

**Vection Illusion**

Unlike real life, VR users can’t rely on their vestibular system to determine if they are moving; instead, they must make a judgement using only visual information. In some cases, users may misinterpret moving objects for objects that are stationary, thinking they are the one moving. This illusory perception of self-motion from vision alone has been termed vection and is an underlying cause of visually-induced VR discomfort.

VR UI can cause vection when a large screen of thumbnails is presented in a large portion of the user’s FOV. When the user begins to scroll, the user may feel like their head is moving, not the thumbnails in VR.

A real-world example of vection is when a stationary driver, watching the car ahead of them drive forward at a green light, has the sensation that their own car is traveling backwards.

In VR, any moving objects can create vection. For example, the lack of information on the ground in the scene above may cause confusion to whether the user or the cubes are moving in the scene.

Providing a more stable visual reference with texture on the ground makes it clear which of the two are moving.
Collisions

In 6DOF VR, users are able to move their heads into nearby virtual objects. If possible, applications should avoid rendering solid virtual objects close enough to the player that they may collide with them. For instance, if an app sends an enemy too close to the player, the player may move their head and clip into the enemy models and view their hollowed side, breaking immersion. One solution would be to design the game with the enemy always maintaining a distance from the player so they will not intersect with the virtual object in normal movement.

If it is not possible to keep distance from virtual objects, there are mitigation techniques that can be considered. However, there are a few mitigation techniques that should be avoided, such as freezing the camera so that it stays outside of the object, or using a physics collider. Both will create a disparity between what the user is seeing and sensing.

The ability for users to walk through virtual walls (either in room scale or with a controller) creates challenges for motion design. A common technique is to expose a black void, blurred effect, or grid environment beyond the wall. This helps to communicate that the player is exiting the expected play space without manipulating their head in a way that will cause discomfort.

If the user moves into an object that contains a physics collider and this object is outside of the user’s current field of view, the sudden stop will be as discomforting as an app that randomly freezes due to performance issues.

Physics collisions are important for the interactions between different virtual objects in an environment, but should never affect the movement of the camera.
Horizon Movement

Moving the virtual horizon line is one of the quickest ways to induce discomfort in a user. Similar to moving the camera, there is a stream of visual information that does not match the forces that the user is feeling. Take the example of a user on a boat at sea. While sitting still, the user is feeling 1g of force directly perpendicular to the real-world horizon. However, an oscillating virtual horizon line as the boat bobs on virtual waves implies that 1g of force should be pushing on the user from many angles. Even though the camera remains still, moving the horizon creates a disparity between the perceived and actual force being felt by the user.

Another way to induce a similar effect is to maintain the virtual horizon at a fixed angle that does not match the real-world horizon. For instance, angling the camera to give the user a better view of a sports stadium or a playing board will cause the user to notice the difference between the 1g of force being applied to them in real life versus what they are seeing and the constant disparity will bother them.

If there is no visible horizon in a virtual scene, the user will set expectations for the direction of gravity by observing the objects around them. For instance, placing the user floating in front of an angled virtual pillar, or floating on an angled virtual platform, may convince the user that the virtual horizon has tilted, even without a visible virtual horizon line to confirm it.

Any sudden change to the horizon line or rotation of the camera may cause the user to experience discomfort. While rare, this is most commonly due to bad data coming from hardware sensors, software bugs, or someone accidentally knocking over an external tracker. In cases where the virtual horizon suddenly moves, users may react to steady themselves. Depending on how dramatically the virtual environment changed, a quick shift of balance to remain standing in the virtual environment could result in the user tripping in reality.
A NOTE ABOUT MOTION INTENSITY

Different users have varying tolerances for virtual motion, so it is important to separate the concept of motion intensity of an application from the concept of user comfort.

Comfort is a property of each specific user. Individual users will experience discomfort differently. Individual users may or may not be in the segment of the population that experiences discomfort from any particular mechanic.

Comfort is comprised of many factors beyond motion intensity. These include (but are not limited to) neck and arm ergonomics, eye strain, headset weight, headset warmth, air flow, optics, and the general psychological comfort of an application. There are cases where VR applications that have no virtual motion are still considered to be “uncomfortable” by users.

Comfort holds an innate value judgement. Comfort is generally considered to be good, and discomfort to be bad. However, this may not be true for motion intensity. Some users who are less susceptible to motion discomfort may find that their favorite VR applications are the ones that contain the most intense sequences of virtual motion.

Developers are encouraged to review and understand how their apps will be rated before submitting an app for distribution.
The previous section detailed the many ways that motion can cause discomfort users in VR. This section will introduce some ways to move users around in VR without triggering discomfort (or are less likely to trigger discomfort). User movement in a virtual environment (beyond simply walking in real life) is commonly referred to as “locomotion.” Below are a few ways to achieve virtual locomotion, in order of the amount of motion mitigated by the method. The common theme among these methods is that they are all intended to convince the brain that the user is not in fact moving, that essentially their senses are correct. This means that sufficient visual cues need to be present to reinforce the perception of the actual motion.

As mentioned earlier, to reach the broadest possible audience for an app, consider including a comfort mode with less intense motion.

**No Motion by Design**

The most effective means of avoiding discomfort from locomotion is to simply have no locomotion. Moving the player around a VR environment without input or explanation can feel artificial and break the immersive nature, so good narrative design is required to provide a mental model that maintains immersion.

One approach is to have players progress through discrete levels or areas, providing some mechanism for the transportation that doesn’t expose them to vection. For example, the user might ride an elevator or other encapsulated mode of transportation, or have their view of the world fade to black for story reasons (e.g., losing consciousness, being blindfolded).

**Teleportation**

One common approach is teleportation. Teleportation allows the user to move around a virtual environment by magically appearing at a location that the user has specified. This is commonly done with a fade to black. Applications that leverage teleportation for movement can still maintain a motion intensity level of “no virtual motion.”

While teleportation can be an effective tool to mitigate motion discomfort, it can also be disorienting if users are not given adequate information to acclimate them to their new position in the scene. The additional mental energy required to adapt to their new position may reduce users’ sense of immersion or their ability to effectively interact with other app mechanics.

A technique that slightly modifies the teleport approach to locomotion is to very quickly warp the user to their new position. If this warping to the new location is done fast enough (<500ms), the virtual movement does not seem to cause discomfort for most users. Additionally, the warp effect allows users to maintain a constant sense of where they are in the virtual environment without having to look for unique landmarks and reorient after the teleportation has occurred. Warping between teleportation points is especially effective in environments that do not contain a lot of unique visual landmarks where users may be easily disoriented.
Tunneling

For first-person movement in VR, one approach to mitigating discomfort is to fade the user's peripheral vision out during movement, then fade back in when the user is no longer moving.

One reason tunneling is effective is because it leverages certain properties of human vision. The central vision, or fovea, can perceive more detail than the peripheral vision. “Foveated rendering” refers to intentionally rendering at a lower resolution in the user's peripheral vision, where they are less likely to notice visual degradation.

Peripheral vision has one major advantage over central vision: it is much better at detecting motion. For instance, you may only notice the flickering of a fluorescent light or an LCD monitor out of the corner of the eye. This is a result of the extreme motion sensitivity of peripheral vision.

By fading away virtual motion in the user's peripheral vision and replacing it with a static image, the user not only perceives less motion where they are most sensitive to motion. Since users have low resolution peripheral vision, users may not notice when tunneling effects are used.

While apps commonly fade to black in the user's periphery, an effective addition for mitigating discomfort is to introduce stable grid lines in the user's peripheral vision. Vertical gridlines are especially effective when the user is rotating, and horizontal gridlines are effective when the user is moving forward and back.

There are a few variations on tunneling that are more advanced. One approach is to only turn on tunneling when there is a surface close to the user, causing increased peripheral motion. For example, tunneling may be used on both sides when the user flies into a canyon, and only one side when the user flies next to a cliff.

Instead of replacing the user's peripheral vision with a changed virtual environment, an immersive approach is to obscure their peripheral vision with an object that fits the application's narrative. For example, this could be a helmet or the cockpit of a ship. Allowing the user to sit in a virtual living room and observe a game beyond one wall, or on a large 3D television, is also a type of tunneling.

Another variation of tunneling is to remove the texture information in the user's peripheral vision but maintain geometry and color. Similar to foveated rendering, this presents the user with less movement in their peripheral vision, and they are unlikely to notice the effect.

Blinks and Snap Turns

As mentioned previously, acceleration is one of the common causes of VR discomfort. This applies to rotational acceleration, not just linear acceleration. One technique to rotate the user comfortably is to use blinks and snap turns.

Blinks and snap turns omit the visual information that is likely to cause vection by simply cutting to black or instantaneously changing the viewpoint. The human brain is well suited to filling in interruptions in perceptual streams. For instance, visual interruptions that occur when blinking or looking around a room go unnoticed. Leveraging this ability can help transition the user through motions that are likely to cause vection.
EYE STRAIN

After motion-induced discomfort, the second source of physiological discomfort is eye strain. This section reviews some issues that can result in eye strain and an inability to focus on virtual objects due to conflicting visual cues.

Legibility of Text

Since objects can be placed at any virtual distance, the notion of a “readable font” needs to consider how far away that font will appear for the user. An easier way of thinking about text legibility is to make sure that all text is larger than a particular angular size. At farther distances, the font size may need to be increased so the angular size remains the same.

The minimum angular size depends on the pixel density of the display in the VR headset. Most headsets are around 13 pixels per degree. At that resolution, text that is comfortable to read will take up 1.5 degrees of the user’s visual field of view.

Consider the languages the app supports. The complexity of combined letter combinations may affect the legibility of text. For instance, consider using a font that supports East Asian languages. Localization may also affect text layout as some languages employ more letters than others for the same copy.

When displaying virtual text, it is generally best to place it on an opaque surface in the scene. Text floating in space, without any background, or with a sufficiently transparent background, can create eye strain for users over periods of time. This is because the user’s eyes may involuntarily refocus between the surface of the text and the background scene in the spaces between words or in open letters. These repetitive refocus operations exercise the user’s eye muscles, which will eventually fatigue. It is especially important to background protect long passages of text. One option to avoid any potential eye strain for long passages is to employ a voice actor.
Focus

Two issues are of primary importance to understanding eye comfort when the eyes are focusing on an object in VR: accommodative demand and vergence demand. Accommodative demand refers to how your eyes have to adjust the shape of their lenses to bring a depth plane into focus (a process known as accommodation). Vergence demand refers to the degree to which the eyes have to rotate inwards so their lines of sight intersect at a particular depth plane. In the real world, these two are strongly correlated with one another; so much so that we have what is known as the accommodation-convergence reflex: the degree of convergence of your eyes influences the accommodation of your lenses and vice-versa.

VR creates an unusual situation that decouples accommodative and vergence demands, where accommodative demand is fixed but vergence demand can change. This is because the actual images for creating stereoscopic 3D are always presented on a screen that remains at the same distance optically, but the different images presented to each eye still require the eyes to rotate so their lines of sight converge on objects at a variety of different depth planes. If an object is placed too close to the user, they may not be able to converge their eyes on it, resulting in double vision.

While each VR headset is different, a general rule of thumb is to avoid rendering objects closer than 0.5 meters from the user.

Resizing Objects for Close Inspection

In some situations, the user may wish to inspect an object up close. Perhaps they are reading a note in the margin of a book or viewing details on objects in their inventory.

One way to facilitate this is to allow users to bring objects close to them, but then lock the objects at a distance users can still focus on.

If the user continues to “zoom in” the object remains locked at a fixed distance from the user, but becomes larger in the scene. From the user’s perspective it appears that the object is getting closer and closer as it scales up. With this approach, the user maintains focus on the object without experiencing double vision.

Using Visual Cues for Depth

Another consideration for visual focus in VR is to give users enough details to understand where to focus. A completely featureless surface placed in front of the user may cause the user’s eyes to refocus out to infinity. Adding visual noise, gradients, or shadows cast onto an object will give users a sense that the object actually exists at a specific distance in space in front of them and is not a window to an infinite void.

Depth Perception Tricks

Stereopsis, the perception of depth based on disparity between the viewpoints of each eye, is the most salient depth cue, but it is only one of many ways the human brain processes depth information. Another depth cue is from motion parallax, the degree to which objects and different distances appear to move at different rates during small head movements. 6DOF VR headsets can translate motion parallax, but 3DOF VR headsets cannot.
**USER COMFORT**

**Exercising Caution When Taking Control of the User's Eye Muscles**

Users are accustomed to maintaining control over their eye muscles to focus at different distances. Employing depth of field camera effects in VR actively takes away muscle control from the user. This will not trigger nausea in the same way as moving the user’s head will, as there is no change in perceived force on the user. However, the effect may be perceived as surprising or unusual.

This is especially true when the depth of field changes occur unexpectedly, for instance, in response to the user moving their head. The effect is less concerning when it occurs in immediate response to an action that the user has taken -- for example, when the user actively chooses to inspect an object or enters an inventory or menu screen. In those situations, the user is anticipating the change.

**Avoiding Repetitive Refocus Operations**

Developers should be mindful of the sequence of refocus operations that the user will perform during regular application use.

If the user is exploring an open world scene, the refocus operations where they engage their eye muscles will likely be sufficiently sporadic. Users may also self-regulate in order to avoid quickly refocusing back and forth between different depth planes if they start to experience fatigue.

Applications that have required or common usage flows where users regularly refocus between two depth planes are more likely to result in eye strain. Imagine a user playing a game in VR where the playing board is placed at 5m, but the interface displaying the next piece in the queue is placed out at 10m. As the user repeatedly looks at the board, then to the next piece, and back to the board, the different distances of these two interfaces will cause the user to engage their eye muscles each time they refocus. This repetitive muscle engagement may fatigue the user faster than a design that does not require frequent refocus operations.

**ERGONOMICS**

Beyond VR-specific discomfort and eye strain, a third aspect of the user’s physiological comfort is ergonomics. Muscle fatigue in the wrist and neck is a common concern in VR.

**Wrist Ergonomics**

When the user is holding a flat controller (like a flashlight) in a natural position for the hand and wrist, the controller will be aimed upwards towards the ceiling, shown in red in the diagram to the right.

For wrist comfort there are at least two common techniques to resolve this:

- Controllers that are physically constructed to have an angled grip.
- Software that angles the raycast of the controller downward.

Many VR controllers are designed to have a section that naturally rests in the user’s hand at an angle and a section that naturally points forward. These platforms do not require further design considerations.

For VR platforms that use a flat controller that does not have an angled grip, angling the raycast from the controller downward (shown in green below) at an angle of 19 degrees or more may improve user wrist ergonomics.

**Neck Ergonomics**

For neck ergonomics, developers should avoid placing content that users will focus on for long periods far away from what you have defined as the center of the FOV for the app. Otherwise, users may need to engage their neck muscles to hold a turned position. This problem is often mitigated by many VR platforms having system-level recentering, which means that the controller and the headset’s current pose will be redefined as “forward” in the scene. However, neck ergonomics should still be a consideration when designing VR applications.
When designing VR environments, be mindful not only of the user's physical comfort, but also their feeling of psychological comfort.

### Heights
Some users are uncomfortable with places far off the ground. Use caution when placing the user in a scene where they will be more than a couple feet off the ground.

### Sharp Objects
People are generally uncomfortable around sharp objects. This fear can be triggered by seemingly harmless objects in VR. For example, a hummingbird flying too closely to a user with their sharp beak may make users uncomfortable.

### Large Spaces
Some users may be uncomfortable in large spaces; placing them in an expansive galaxy may make them uncomfortable.

### Small Spaces
Some users may be uncomfortable in small or confined spaces; placing them in an elevator for an extended time may make them feel uncomfortable.

### User Agency
Small details in an environment, such as a cup set on a wood table without a coaster or a crooked painting on a wall, can create unneeded distractions and be discomforting if they are not able to fix them.

### Scale
Use caution when placing a user in a virtual scene where they are much smaller than the other objects in the scene, especially adversaries. This may make the user feel small or vulnerable.
INPUT AND CONTROL

Most current VR devices, both 3DOF and 6DOF, include some form of controllers. Each manufacturer gives recommendations for the use of their specific controller, but what follows is applicable to every controller and platform.

TEACHING THE CONTROL SCHEME

Controllers appear in both physical and virtual space, so one way to teach users the control scheme is to use labels on the virtual controller that directly map to the actual controller buttons. This is more intuitive for users than text placed somewhere in the environment that refers to the controls with names or symbols.

MAINTAINING PROPRIOCEPTION

Perfectly reproducing the actual controller in virtual space can feel boring or even reduce the user’s feeling of presence since the controller becomes a constant visual reminder of the outside world. This can be mitigated by adapting the virtual controller to a game’s or experience’s art style.

However, there is one important caveat: it is important to maintain the exact size and position of any controls (buttons, touchpads, joysticks, triggers, etc.) for the virtual controller as for the physical controller. Any detail that the user can feel on the actual controller will bother them if the same detail is presented slightly differently on the virtual controller. For instance, shifting a button or making the entire controller thinner, may feel wrong to users. Matching what users see with what they feel is called “proprioception.”

In the image on the top right of this page, the overall size of the virtual controller and precise position of buttons match the industrial design of a physical controller, but the aesthetic of the controller has been adapted to the application’s art style.

Issues with controller design affecting the user’s ability to maintain presence seem to be most significant when the virtual controller design is only slightly wrong. If the controller is completely replaced in VR with another object, like a flashlight, banana, or fishing rod, the effect doesn’t seem to be as noticeable.

The effect also only impacts areas where the user has engaged their muscles and can feel the controller. For instance, if the hilt of a virtual sword that the user is holding matches the width of the controller, the user will probably still experience perfect proprioception.

Equally as important as the virtual representation of the controller is how the user moves it in the scene. Avoid scaling or exaggerating the virtual movement of the controller, and instead move the virtual controller exactly as the user moves the controller in the real world.
INVERSE KINEMATICS AND PROPRIOCEPTION

While it is common for 3DOF VR applications to render the virtual controller, 6DOF applications often render hands in place of the virtual controllers or hands with virtual controllers. It is important to get hands right if they are part of a 6DOF app. Even small differences in position or orientation are noticeable and can break proprioception. This also affects virtual hand models that contain fingers if the system does not do accurate finger tracking.

Even if the user’s hand position is rendered exactly, differences between the virtual avatar and the real user can break proprioception. Users may readily accept rendered hands that mimic their real-world hands, but still break presence if the hands are not in keeping with the scene. For example, a set of realistic hands would be out of place in space, where users expect a space suit.

Another consideration when implementing realistic hands is that not all users look the same. Allow users to customize their hands to match their skin tone.

Unless the VR platform can provide a near-perfect virtual representation of the real-life user, attempts to display the user’s body tend to diminish the experience more than enhance it.

PERCEIVED OBJECT WEIGHT

While replacing the controller with an entirely different object (like a sword or fishing rod) isn’t likely to trigger proprioception issues, a user may notice when the weight and center of mass are wrong. The more the user is presented with an obvious difference in object weight, the more it is likely to reduce their sense of presence.

While this effect is usually triggered with more realistic virtual objects (battle axes, swords, etc.), it can be equally problematic for interface components. For instance, a large and solid raycast coming off from the controller to help with targeting can seem like it should have some weight associated with it.

This can also be true of large solid menu panels attached to a controller, versus more holographic or open visual designs.

Two-handed interactions are also difficult to convey in VR. Lifting a heavy box or holding a pitchfork with two hands may feel strange because the rigid constraints the user expects between their hands won’t exist in VR.
**INPUT AND CONTROL**

**CONVEYING VIRTUAL WEIGHT**

While virtual objects cannot exert any pressure or resistance on the controller to convey a sense of weight (at least not without an elaborate industrial robot as part of the user’s VR rig), there are some software approaches to conveying virtual weight.

For instance, the virtual object can resist the raycast used to select it, causing the raycast to bend. This will create the perception that the object has mass. Light objects will only bend the raycast a small amount, while very heavy objects will bend the raycast significantly. Heavier objects may also be harder for the user to move around than lighter objects.

**HEAD GAZE INTERACTIONS**

While most active interactions in VR are mediated through the controller, the user’s head gaze can also be useful for information or a passive interaction. For instance, head gaze can display annotations or additional information in the virtual environment, acting as a form of focus selection.

Head gaze can also be used to trigger events in the scene, like the progression of the story, or something happening where the user is not looking (most commonly used for jump scares). For certain interactions, head gaze can be used in combination with the controller raycast. For instance, if the controller raycast is intersecting multiple objects that can be selected, head gaze can be used to disambiguate which object the user is attempting to select.
AN AUGMENTED ENVIRONMENT LAYERS VIRTUAL CONTENT.

Digital objects and information are layered onto a real-world image captured from a device’s camera. The user can reorient their device to explore the objects from different angles and, if appropriate for the experience, interact with objects using gestures and movement.

Smartphone AR software platforms use a process called concurrent odometry and mapping, or COM, to understand where a user’s phone is relative to the world around it. These platforms identify visually distinct features in the captured camera image, called feature points, and use the points to compute the device’s change in location. The visual information is combined with inertial measurements to estimate the pose (position and orientation) of the camera relative to the world over time.

Virtual content is rendered from the correct perspective by aligning the pose of the virtual camera that renders 3D content with the pose of the device’s actual camera provided by the smartphone AR software platform. The rendered virtual image can be overlaid on top of the image obtained from the device’s camera, making it appear as if the virtual content is part of the real world.

AR is still in its infancy, and the rapidly developing nature of the technology and industry means that there are many aspects of it that haven’t been studied enough for any party to make authoritative statements. The guidelines for smartphone AR app development outlined below should be considered preliminary and evolving.
THE USER’S ENVIRONMENT

Designing for smartphone AR means designing for many different environments, from the private space of a room, to the vast openness of the outdoors.

Anticipate and communicate any potential challenges that may hinder users’ physical capabilities and movements, such as difficulty with tracking and occlusion in public spaces. Help users understand ideal conditions for using the app. Give them a clear understanding of the amount of space needed for the task at hand, from a tabletop, to an entire room, to world-scale space. And, consider how phone movement and use of phone-based AR might be unsafe or hinder real-world interactions.

SURFACES

Smartphone AR software platforms are constantly improving their understanding of the real-world environment. They can cluster and recognize feature points that appear to lie on common horizontal and angled surfaces and make these surfaces available to the app as planes.

For now, limitations that may hinder accurate detection of surfaces include:

- Flat surfaces without texture, such as a white desk.
- Low-light environments.
- Extremely bright environments.
- Transparent and reflective surfaces, such as glass.
- Dynamic or moving surfaces, such as blades of grass or rippling water.

When users encounter environmental limitations, indicate what went wrong and point them in the right direction.

LIGHTING

AR requires an exceptional level of detail to overlay believable-looking objects onto real-world environments. Accurately detecting the light type, source, and intensity are important components of selling realism.

To improve fidelity, smartphone AR software platforms use light estimation features. When no light estimation APIs are present, false ambient lighting can add depth and realism to assets in the scene.
GENERAL USER’S ENVIRONMENT

General user experience considerations in AR include user movement and comfort as well as environmental limitations and safety hazards.

USER MOVEMENT

Users are just beginning to become familiar with 360-degree XR environments. When initially engaging in smartphone AR experiences, they tend to remain stationary due to habits built by 2D mobile interactions.

Nudge users if the experience demands exploration or if they’re so immersed in the experience that they freeze. For example, placing a virtual character slightly out of view will compel the user to move to explore it.

Arrows along the bottom of the screen can likewise guide users toward offscreen virtual objects.

Based on each user's environment and comfort level, their movement while using a smartphone AR application can go through four phases:

1. Seated, with hands fixed
2. Seated, with hands moving
3. Stationary with hands fixed
4. Full range of motion

For each phase:

Design for comfort, making sure not to put the user in an uncomfortable situation or pose. Avoid large or sudden movements.

Provide clear direction when a user needs to transition from one movement to another.

Educate the user about specific movements required to trigger an experience.

Give clear guidance on movement range and necessary adjustments to user's position, pose, or gesture.

While movement can be engaging, avoid enforcing user movement until it is necessary.

ACCESSIBILITY AND EASE OF ACCESS

If the user isn't able to move around, consider providing an alternative experience. For example, instead of encouraging users to move closer, emphasize other activities, such as object interaction or transformation.

Keep in mind that users may move less as they fatigue. This makes it particularly important to ensure that any text or annotations are clear and legible from any angle.

USER COMFORT

Holding up a mobile device for a prolonged period of time can be tiring. Allow the user to define what is comfortable for them.

Consider users' physical comfort during all application phases.

Be mindful of the length of play experiences. Consider when users might need to take a break.

Let users pause or save their progress, making it easy for them to continue an experience where they left off even if their physical location has changed.

REDUCING USER FRUSTRATION

Try to anticipate and reduce user frustration.

Anticipate the limitations of users' spaces — both indoor and outdoor, the space's physical size and any obstacles, including furniture, things, and people. While it is impossible to know where the user will be when using an app, try to provide suggestions or feedback to reduce user frustration.

Give users a clear idea of the amount of space needed for the experience.

Remind users to be aware of their surroundings.

Avoid asking the user to walk backwards or to make swift or sweeping physical movements.

Avoid placing large objects directly in front of users, as this will cause them to physically back up.
SURFACE DETECTION FEEDBACK

Educate users how to move their phones to scan their environments by providing clear instructions and visual cues. For example, a clockwise or circular motion animation can help users understand what movement is required. As users move their phones, provide simultaneous feedback to indicate surface detection and instructional cues about what to do next (such as placing an object or modify phone movement).

- **Design seamless transitions.**
  As the user moves quickly, the system might lose tracking. Design for a smooth and subtle transition between surface finding and detection.

- **Be clear about which surfaces have been detected.**
  Distinguish between surfaces that have yet to be detected and ones that have been successfully detected. Consider visually highlighting valid surfaces with a semi-transparent treatment.

- **Aim for visual consistency.**
  The visuals used for each state should also share aesthetic attributes for the sake of consistency and visual harmony.

- **Use progressive disclosure.**
  Communicate changes in system status in a timely and relevant way. Visual highlighting or displaying text can help communicate successful surface detection.

SURFACE VISUALIZATION

A lack of visual cues during plane discovery can cause confusion about whether or not virtual objects have been placed on valid planes.

- **To avoid this:**
  Highlight surfaces that have been detected and are ready for object placement.

  Create visual distinctions between different planes to avoid any confusion for future placement of 3D assets.

  Visually highlight only the surfaces that the user is viewing or pointing at, taking care not to highlight multiple surfaces at once.
OBJECT PLACEMENT

OPTIMAL PLACEMENT RANGE

An optimal placement range indicator helps ensure that the user will place an object at a comfortable viewing distance. Guide users to optimal object placement by clearly labeling regions of the screen, setting maximum placement distance defaults and using visual indicators for object destinations.

Scene Staging

The limited field of view on a mobile screen can pose challenges for users to perceive depth, scale, and distance. This may impact users' experience of the app and their ability to interact with objects. In particular, object placement alters depth perception. For example, placing an object too close to the user can be startling or alarming, while placing large objects close to the user may cause them to step back.

Maximum Placement Distance

Setting maximum placement distance defaults helps ensure users place objects at comfortable viewing distances. The object will then maintain realistic scale as the user continues to drag it.

Destination Point

The destination point refers to where an object will eventually be placed.

Visual indicators for the destination point, such as shadows, can make it easier for users to understand where an object will be placed on a detected surface.
OBJECT PLACEMENT

TYPES OF OBJECT PLACEMENT

Objects in AR can be placed automatically or manually.

Auto Placement

Auto placement is app-controlled and refers to when virtual objects populate the scene once a surface is detected.

Auto placement works well when:

- A virtual environment is augmented over the real-world space, such as in an RPG game.
- Minimal or no interactions are required.
- Precise object placement is not required.
- AR mode is crucial and should kickstart on launch.

Manual Placement

Manual placement is user-controlled and refers to users’ ability to physically place and move an object in the scene. This could include anchoring a playspace or setting up a location to start a smartphone AR experience. There are at least three types of manual object placement.
OBJECT PLACEMENT

**Tap-to-Place**

Tap placement allows a user to drop a pre-selected virtual object by tapping a location within the scene.

**Tap behavior generally comes naturally to the user, and works best when:**

The virtual object doesn't need significant adjustment or transformation (scale/rotation) before placement.

Quick placement is required.

Tap behavior doesn't work well when multiple objects automatically appear or spawn in the scene. When tapped, multiple objects can pile on top of each other.

**Drag-to-Place**

Drag placement is ideal for high-precision object placement, allowing the user to drag a virtual object from a gallery into the scene.

**Drag behavior works best when:**

The virtual object needs significant adjustment or transformation.

The placement requires a high degree of precision.

Scale is a critical part of the experience.

Drag behavior doesn't work well when the user is not informed about the placement gesture in advance. Since drag behavior can be less familiar to users, provide clear guidance and onboarding when enabling it.

**Free Placement**

Free placement allows for object placement when no surface is detected. If levitation or elevation is intentional, provide clear visual cues and guidance to the user. If a surface isn't detected, let the user know that the object isn't anchored to a surface.

Guide the user to successful object placement by:

Blocking input until surface detection is complete. This prevents users from placing objects in the scene without a valid plane.

Providing visual and/or textual feedback that the object hasn't been placed yet. For example, use hover animation and text to communicate what the user should do next.

If virtual objects appear in the environment without surface detection, it can create confusion, since the object may appear to be levitating. This also bars the user from interacting with the object or space in a meaningful way.
Any interactive objects placed by the user in a scene should be easily manipulated, from selecting the object, to moving it between surfaces, to scaling it larger or smaller.

**Selection**
Selection allows the user to identify, manipulate, or interact with virtual objects.

*Design for intuitive object selection by:*
Creating visual indicators that highlight the objects users can interact with. This is especially critical in a scenario where multiple objects can be selected.

Maintaining the original visual integrity of the virtual object, while taking care not to visually overpower the virtual asset.

**Translation**
Translation allows the user to move a virtual object along a surface or from one surface to another. To move an object, the user can select it and either drag it along the screen with one finger or move the actual phone.

*Multi-Surface Translation*
Avoid sudden transitions or changes in scale during translation:

Visually distinguish between two surfaces.
Show surfaces that are ready for placement before the user releases their finger.

*Translation Limits*
Adding a max translation limit can prevent users from translating the object so far into the scene that it is rendered impossible to view or manipulate.

**Rotation**
Rotation is the ability to orient the object in a desired direction. Rotation can be either auto or manual.

*Manual Rotation*
Support a two-finger gesture on the phone screen for manual rotation. To avoid conflicts with scaling, require that the fingers move in the same direction on a horizontal axis.

*Auto-Rotation*
Avoid auto-rotation unless it is an intentional part of the experience. Persistent auto-rotation can be disconcerting to users. For example, if the object’s orientation is locked to face users, restrict auto-rotation if they try to manually change the object’s orientation.

**Scaling**
Scaling is the ability to increase or decrease the size of an object, most commonly with a pinch gesture. It is generally appropriate when an object has no intrinsic size, such as a game character or toy. Scaling is generally not appropriate when an object has a definite size in relation to the real world, such as a piece of furniture.

*Constraints*
Setting minimum and maximum scale limit can improve the user experience. Smaller scale values allow users more precise compositional scenarios. Consider adding a bouncing effect to indicate maximum and minimum size. Or, snap the object if the object has achieved true scale.

**Scale and Gameplay**
Scale can be a playful aspect of the user experience. For example, a large ogre in the scene can add a surprise element. Consider using scale to elicit delight.

Sound can also be used to communicate scale. Altering scale pitch can encourage immersion.
Volumetric interfaces allow users to interact with objects that appear to have three-dimensional depth. Volumetric interfaces necessitate a range of design considerations.

**Gestures**

Direct touch interaction with a virtual object is both more immersive and more intuitive than interaction through a separate control scheme. However, direct manipulation of virtual objects on a smartphone screen poses its own challenges. Touch gestures are inherently two-dimensional, but the AR environment involves the three dimensions of the real world.

**Proximity**

Precise handling may be challenging to users for objects that are small or distant. Assume that a user is attempting to interact with an object if the app detects a gesture near an object. Provide reasonably sized touch targets despite the object’s small size. Consider the size of touch targets to allow for optimal interaction.

**Accidental Gestures**

Create a consistent system for gestures and interactions. Assign gestures to specific interactions or tasks, while avoiding the use of similar gestures to complete different types of tasks. For example, a two-finger pinch gesture used for object scaling should not also be used for object rotation.

Accommodate various two-finger gestures. Two-finger gestures are commonly used to rotate or scale an object.

**MAINTAINING IMMERSION**

Design for an immersive experience, but empower users to feel in control.

Avoid full screen takeovers unless the user explicitly selects it.

Avoid persistent 2D overlays that can disrupt immersion.

Allow users to perform primary and secondary tasks within the app.

For example, allow users to select, customize, change, or share objects without leaving AR, and avoid flows that frequently take the user in and out of a scene.
ONBOARDING AND INSTRUCTIONS

Allow users to launch the smartphone AR experience quickly and teach users how to perform key tasks contextually in the first-run experience. This will tie helpful instructions to relevant tasks and build retention.

While adding contextual onboarding tips:
- Dismiss cues once the task is completed.
- Offer tips or resurface key onboarding visuals if the user repeats the same mistakes.

Rather than relying solely on text, use a combination of visual cues, motion, and animation to guide users. For example, users understand a swipe gesture better if it is demonstrated on-screen, rather than communicated via text-only instructions.

FAMILIARITY

Leverage familiar UI patterns and conventions. Align to standard UX interaction models and patterns without breaking the immersiveness of the experience. This will help reduce the need for providing instructions or detailed onboarding.

LANDSCAPE AND PORTRAIT MODES

Supporting both landscape and portrait modes creates a more immersive experience and increases user comfort. If this isn't possible, select the one that is best for the AR experience. Consider the following:

Camera and button placement
For each mode, be aware of how camera positioning affects depth sensing, spatial awareness, and accurate surface measurements.

Layout
When appropriate, change layouts for secondary targets.

One-mode support
Make it clear to the user if support for only one mode is available.

Key target placement
Avoid displacing key targets or allowing for rotate animation.
OBJECT PLACEMENT

AUDIO EXPLORATION
Audio can encourage engagement and enhance the user’s experience. Be mindful of the following though while infusing audio in 3D objects or the 360-degree environment:

Avoid playing sounds simultaneously.
Add attenuation for sound effects.
Allow audio to fade or stop if user is not handling the object.
Allow users to manually turn off the audio of selected objects.
Always ensure sounds add to the experience rather than distract from it.

VISUAL EXPLORATION
Visual or audio cues can encourage off-screen exploration. For example, a bird flying off-screen until the user brings it back in the scene can help direct the user to complete the intended goal.

AVOIDING VISIBLE DEPTH COLLISIONS
To avoid depth collisions — when a virtual object appears to intersect a real-world object — be mindful of reasonable room sizes and the various environments in which users will use the app. Set expectations up front by clearly communicating how much space is needed for the experience, from a tabletop, to an entire room, to a world-scale space.
SECTION SIX

HEADSET AUGMENTED REALITY

HEADSET AUGMENTED REALITY DESIGN IS EXCITING AND RAPIDLY EVOLVING.

While the XRA member companies are not yet ready to provide any specific agreed upon design guidelines for this technology, the preceding sections on VR and smartphone AR are a good starting point for creating headset based AR experiences.
SECTION SEVEN

GUIDANCE FOR CULTURE, CONDUCT, AND CONTENT IN XR ENVIRONMENTS

WHILE XRA MEMBER COMPANIES HAVE COLLECTIVELY SHARED BEST PRACTICES on the design and usability of XR technology, user comfort and safety are also inextricably linked to the policies and practices developers may implement with respect to culture, conduct, and content in extended reality environments. We appreciate that differences may exist between developers creating content within defined platforms, such as closed gaming or training environments, and those seeking to create platforms that encourage user-generated content. Against this backdrop, the enclosed guidance reflects our experience over the years in two- and three-dimensional environments. We are mindful that communities and intended audiences vary and that XR remains in its early stages; consequently, this section of the developers' guide offers broad recommendations for creating respectful, safe, and inclusive XR environments.
CULTURE GUIDANCE

The XR industry is committed to creating engaging virtual experiences that facilitate positive connections between users. Inclusivity and welcoming need not come at the expense of diversity and variance of community culture, however. While not all spaces need to appeal to all users, all spaces should have clear expectations that users can rely upon to guide their behavior. Developers should consider implementing mechanisms for communicating with users, such as systems for rewarding good behavior and remediating harmful or otherwise undesirable behavior. These reinforcement channels can help foster platform-specific social norms.

FOSTERING SOCIAL NORMS

To cultivate a welcoming and inclusive community culture, developers should consider using reward and remediation mechanisms. These policies establish foundational social norms that foster healthy communities and empower peers to course correct each other before it becomes necessary for developers to take enforcement actions against violations of community norms.

Encourage positive behavior.
This can include rewarding altruism, empathy, and compassion; celebrating positive collective behavior; pointing users to resources and communities that increase connectivity; and creating activities that encourage investment in outcomes for other participants.

Discourage and penalize negative or harmful behavior.
This can include intervening to ease social tension or deescalate tense situations; curbing unruly behavior; and, in extreme cases, restricting user privileges. Developers should be mindful to provide guidance more than enforcement, taking care to communicate the harmful impact of a user’s behavior as appropriate. Use these moments as opportunities to educate users and refer them back to clear community guidelines to help them course correct.

PROMOTING TRANSPARENCY AND INCLUSION

As developers consider cultural norms, take every opportunity to promote honesty and transparency, and accommodate respectful dissent and disagreement in ways that lead to growth and inclusion.

Communicate intent and promote tolerance.
Be clear about the overall intent and purpose of any given experience and be open to input when the realized creation deviates from the stated intent.

Moderate thoughtfully and transparently.
Be open and transparent about how moderation decisions are made, who makes those decisions, and by what process.

Set the example.
Consider building options, characters, communications channels, and other features to promote and model inclusivity and tolerance in your experience. Create meaningful choices for diverse users, and facilitate engagement options to allow users to easily meet new players or remove themselves from uncomfortable situations.
CREATING CONDUCT POLICIES TO ADDRESS ABUSE

Clearly communicating conduct policies to users is one of the most straightforward ways to keep unsafe, abusive, and harassing conduct out of XR experiences. Conduct policies offer developers an opportunity to set the tone for the type of community they wish to build and show users that they take safety and inclusion seriously. Bear in mind that popular XR distribution platforms also set minimum requirements to which developers’ conduct policies must adhere.

CONDUCT POLICY TIPS

Directly discuss conduct in your user policy, in addition to content.

It is helpful to define clearly what you mean by conduct. For example, “Conduct is how you behave in [app name].”

Write your policy in clear, simple terms so that all users, including younger users, can understand it.

Policies are meant to be readable, not full of legalese.

Give your policy teeth.

Tell your users what could happen if they violate the policy. This can include warnings, temporary suspensions, or even account disables. Policies need to be enforceable and incentivize better behavior.

Tailor conduct policies to your product and community.

Beyond the “traditional” abuse types typically addressed in conduct policies, consider abuse types that may be uniquely relevant to your specific application and be sure to highlight those. Policies should not be cookie cutter; they should align with the target community, context, and desired cultural vibe.

Incorporate dynamic and contextual notifications of possible violations.

As a content creator, it is important to encourage users to read and understand established policies. Given this, when technically possible, it is best to create systems that monitor user behavior and content and dynamically inform users, in-situ, when they are running up against stated policies. Ideally, these monitoring and intervention systems rely on a transparent combination of automation and human oversight.
Another precaution against harmful conduct is ensuring that the tools you build will help users experience a safe VR community. You can do this by avoiding certain features that may be ripe for abuse and by building in safety features and safeguards to prevent harmful conduct.

**Anticipate features vulnerable to abuse.**

Consider whether features you’re building might lead to poor conduct. For example, these two features common to VR apps can be vulnerable to abuse:

**Immersive and 3D Content**

XR offers new opportunities for user-generated content, some types of which (immersive media, 3D objects, worlds, etc.) may require modified or novel safety solutions. While this can foster creativity and add a powerful digital overlay to our surroundings, AR also creates opportunities for innocuous content to be anchored to sensitive real-world locations with abusive intent (e.g., placing emojis on a memorial). Consider how to address these additional challenges, and how to adjust your policies to reflect them.

**Synchronous Behaviors**

By replicating and enhancing real-world sensations, XR adds physicality to an avatar’s experience, especially when avatars are built for social interactions and synchronous behaviors in shared virtual spaces. While harassment and safety risks in VR can be similar to those expressed in 2D social spaces, VR users may experience abusive behavior in a more bodily or visceral fashion. When building product tools, we should aim to create strong protection and reporting mechanisms, not diminish them, for a safer, more positive experience for everyone.

**Empower users through traditional safety tools.**

VR applications also need commonplace safety tools like any other online social experience. Empower your users to control their experience with tools like blocking or muting when they encounter conduct or behaviors that make them feel unsafe and provide users with reporting tools and systems that are accessible and easy to use.

**Mitigate user-generated content risks throughout its lifecycle.**

There are a number of additional considerations when applications involve user-generated content that require safeguards and thoughtful planning.

**Add Preventive Measures**

Because user-generated content can be created and published in virtual spaces through a variety of ways, consider all tools available to prevent risk. This could include review processes or upload prevention filters for previously removed content.

**Think Through Conduct Long-Term**

It is important to understand the scale and scope of how users will experience abusive content. For example, think about how user-generated content will be experienced or shared by users in your application, and whether it will be experienced or used differently throughout its lifecycle as features are built or modified. Also consider how XRA user-generated content may pose unique abuse challenges and identify which tools can be leveraged to limit or prevent harm to others.

**Be Prepared for a Variety of Scenarios**

While remediation is preferred, consider takedown practices, policies, and systems prior to releasing tooling or experiences that create opportunities for user-generated content to be created or distributed. If you are building an experience for mixed age groups, abide by rating or other systems that are already in effect.

**Allocate resources for the long haul.**

Abuse and harassment levels in any space can ebb and flow. Activating new users and releasing new products or content creation types can increase the amount and types of abuse or risks to user communities. Ensure you are allocating time and team resources to manage these risks before new releases or announcements to mitigate community harm before it can take root.
CONTENT GUIDANCE

XR communities are hungry for new and varying types of content. As content creation for virtual reality, 360 video, and overlay forms of augmented reality continues to accelerate, we face the challenge of how content creators can grow online communities while committing to a common objective of advancing positive, diverse, equitable, and inclusive XR environments. Given that technology platforms cannot preemptively identify all emergent behavior and unintended consequences, it is of the utmost importance that developers encourage positive content, mitigate the propagation of harmful content, and address illegal content.

CREATING POSITIVE CONTENT
Content creators are encouraged to develop content for their online communities that, as relevant and appropriate, entertains, spurs imagination, educates, advances positive social outcomes, enhances productivity and ingenuity across various sectors of the economy, and adds to greater understanding of our real environment and humanity.

AVOIDING HARMFUL CONTENT
Many platforms already provide sound guidance on mitigating harmful content, including XR distribution channels, which specify minimum standards on harmful content and content moderation to which developers must adhere to gain access to the channel. We are mindful, however, that what constitutes harmful content may differ by community type and context. Developers should therefore take their intended users into consideration when articulating the boundaries for what constitutes appropriate content in their community, particularly where the XR environment in question allows for user-generated content.

ILLEGAL CONTENT
XR content is available globally. Consider geofencing content. Where content violates applicable national, state/provincial, or local laws, rules for removal or remediation should be articulated.

SECTION SEVEN
CONCLUSION

Whether XR technologies exist for consumer enjoyment or as tools for enterprise use, keeping our communities inclusive and healthy is a shared objective of the entire XR community.

Communication is vital for team building, productivity, gameplay, and more; and it will take all of us to not only create but also enhance best practices for content, conduct, and culture in our XR environment.
SECTION EIGHT

CLOSING THOUGHTS

THIS DOCUMENT WILL CONTINUE TO EVOLVE AS WE COLLABORATE AND LEARN MORE.

The XRA member companies have collectively learned a great deal about XR design over the last several years, but it is very early in the overall evolution of the platform, and we are still learning new best practices at a rapid pace.

An essential part of making these guidelines better and more comprehensive over time is to hear from you, the community of XR developers and designers. If you have discovered something new, from an effective design pattern to a common pitfall, we would love to hear from you and to incorporate what you have learned into these guidelines for the broader industry.

You can reach the XRA on these issues at info@xra.org.

Together we can help establish this new industry, and pull the future into the present.